

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/706,451	HOWELL, DAVID	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jimmy H. Nguyen	2629	

All Participants:

(1) Jimmy H. Nguyen.

(2) Brian Roffe (Applicant's rep.).

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 October 2006

Time: 12:15 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 21 and 28

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed and agreed to amend the specification to define the terms, "PECL" and "TTL", and to amend all independent claims 1, 21 and 28 to further clarify the claimed invention, in order to place the application in condition for allowance. The amendments to the specification and these claims are provided in the attached Examiner's Amendment.